RADIATION PHYSICS NOTE #94

Fermilab's Radiological Calibration Intercomparison

F. Krueger, K. Vaziri

April 1992

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the DOE intercomparison program is: to provide participation in the MQA program which helps in testing radiation fields using standard measurement techniques and to supply calibrated instruments or sources. This program also helps in identifying current problems associated with radiation calibration measurements and provides a forum addressing existing and potential radiological calibration problems by holding periodic workshops. The ES&H Section's participation was to evaluate the calibration of 137-8.1-1, 137-7.1-1, 137-6.1-1, 137-3.5-1, Am241Be-7.2-1 and Cf252-7.2-1 sources. Fermilab's ES&H Section has participated in a similar investigation in 1987¹.

II. EQUIPMENT AND PROCEDURE

Battle Pacific Northwest Laboratories (PNL) in Richland, Washington supplied the equipment for this study. The instrument package included an electrometer to measure integrated charge collected by ionization chambers, a thin walled PM-30 ion chamber to measure exposure from calibrated photon sources, a 1000cc tissue-equivalent ion chamber (TEIC) to measure total kerma from neutron sources, a Geiger-Mueller (GM) counter to measure the photon components of the sources, five TLD dosimeters to measure photon and/or neutron dose by intercomparing with PNL TLD response, a battery pack power supply, a portable NIMBIN, a scaler counter/timer, and a NIMBIN power supply.

The measured sensitivities of the GM detector, PM-30 ion chamber and the 1000cc ion chamber were compared to the PNL values to check on the accuracy with which the activity of the Fermilab sources is known. The extrapolated source activity -- corrected for decay to the measurement day -- was used in the calculation. The three TLD dosimeters were placed on an arc of 50 cm radius with an unmoderated ²⁵²Cf source² (Cf252-7.2-1) at the center of the arc. The TLDs were irradiated in this configuration for approximately 8 hours for a neutron dose of 250 mrem each. PNL will develop or read these badges and compare the results to their own irradiation and will inform us of the results. The appendix contains copies of the data sheets.

III. RESULTS

The GM detector was exposed to 137-7.1-1 and 137-6.1-1 sources at two different distances each:

Source: 137-7.1-1 Distance: 1 m

Measurement interval: 10 sec.

Source: 137-7.1-1 Distance: 2 m Exposure rate (1 m): 3.308 R/hr Number of measurements: 12 Response: 6.297 X 10⁻⁷ R/pulse

Exposure rate (1 m): 3.308 R/hr Number of measurements: 12 R.P. Note #94

Measurement interval: 10 sec. Response: 6.062 X 10⁻⁷ R/pulse

Source: 137-6.1-1 Exposure rate (1 m): 385.8 mR/hr Distance: 1 m Number of measurements: 12 Measurement interval: 20 sec. Response: 6.173 X 10-7 R/pulse

Source: 137-6.1-1 Exposure rate (1 m): 385.8 mR/hr Number of measurements: 12 Distance: 2 m

Measurement interval: 20 sec. Response: 6.041 X 10⁻⁷ R/pulse

The PM-30 ion chamber was exposed to the cesium sources 137-8.1-1, 137-7.1-1 and 137-6.1-1 at a distance of one meter from the detector. All tests were done with the buildup cap on the PM-30. The ambient temperature and pressures were recorded for density corrections:

 $p(torr) \times 295^{\circ} K$

Source: 137-6.1-1 Temperature: 19.1°C Exposure rate: 385.8 mR/hr Pressure: 757.8 torr Elec. corr. factor: 1.009 Air density corr: 0.993

Ave. drift: 3.150x10⁻⁵nC/sec Ave. current: 97.87 X 10⁻⁵nC/sec

Cham. resp. = $1.129 \times 10^8 \text{ R/C}$

Source: 137-7.1-1 Temperature: 19.0°C Exposure rate: 3030.8 mR/hr Pressure: 758. torr Elec. corr. factor: 1.009 Air density corr: 0.992

Ave. drift: 2.50x10⁻⁵ nC/sec Ave. current: 7.595 X 10⁻³ nC/sec

Cham. resp. = $1.111 \times 10^8 \text{ R/C}$

Source: 137-8.1-1 Temperature: 18.8°C Exposure rate: 23.789 R/hr Pressure: 758.5 torr Elec. corr. factor: 1.009 Air density corr: 0.991

Ave. drift: 2.40 X 10⁻⁵nC/sec Ave. (current-drift): 5.875 X 10⁻²nC/sec

Cham. resp. = $1.125 \times 10^8 \text{ R/C}$

The neutron response of the 1000cc TEIC was measured by first exposing it to an AmBe source (241Be-7.2-1) which radiates a mixed neutron and gamma field. The response of the TEIC to the photon component of the AmBe source, is needed for subtraction from the mixed field response. For this purpose three additional measurements were done: 1) the GM counter was exposed to the same AmBe source and its photon response was calculated, 2) the GM counter was exposed to a NBS-calibrated pure gamma source (137-3.5-1), 3) the TEIC was exposed to the same gamma source. The responses of the two detectors to the NBS source were used to normalize the response of the GM detector -- to the AmBe source -- to that of the TEIC's response to the photon component of the AmBe source. The results are shown in the following table:

Detector: TEIC Source: Am241Be-7.2-1 Dose eq. rate: 21.695 mrem/hr Elec. corr. factor: 1.009 Ave. drift: 2.92 X 10⁻¹⁴ C/sec Ave.current:3.18 X 10⁻¹³ C/sec

Signal: 2.914 X 10⁻¹³C/sec

Detector: GM Source: Am241Be-7.2-1 GM response: 6.168 X 10⁻⁷ R/pulse Measured rate: 8.971 X 10⁻⁴ R/hr

Ave. count rate: 0.404 pulse/sec

Detector: TEIC Exposure rate: 1.256 X 10⁻³ R/hr Ave. drift: 1.90 X 10⁻¹⁴ C/sec Source: 137-3.5-1 Elec. corr. factor: 1.009 Ave.current:1.140x10⁻¹³C/sec

Signal: 11.52 X 10⁻¹⁴ C/sec

TEIC gamma response: 3.034x106 R/C

Detector: GM

Source: 137-3.5-1

Exposure rate: 1.256 X 10⁻³ R/hr Ave. count rate: 0.577 pulse/sec

GM response: 6.168 X 10⁻⁷R/pulse Measured rate: .281 X 10⁻³R/hr

To calculate the response of the TEIC to the neutrons from the AmBe source the following data from the above set were used:

$$\begin{split} \dot{D}_{n} & (AmBe \text{ source neutron dose equivalent rate}) = 6.026 \times 10^{-6} \, rem \, / \, \text{sec} \,, \\ \dot{S}_{n+\gamma} = 2.914 \times 10^{-13} \, C \, / \, \text{sec} \,, \quad \dot{R}_{\gamma-GM} = 0.577 \, pulse \, / \, \text{sec} \,, \quad \dot{R}_{\gamma-TEIC} = 1.143 \times 10^{-13} \, C \, / \, \text{sec} \,, \\ \dot{R}_{n+\gamma-GM} = 0.404 \, pulse \, / \, \text{sec} \,, \\ \dot{R}_{n+\gamma-TEIC} = \dot{D}_{n} \dot{R}_{n-TEIC} + \dot{D}_{\gamma} \dot{R}_{\gamma-TEIC} \\ \dot{R}_{n+\gamma-GM} = + \dot{D}_{\gamma} \dot{R}_{\gamma-GM} \\ \dot{R}_{\gamma-GM} + \dot{R}_{\gamma-TEIC} + \frac{\dot{R}_{n+\gamma-GM}}{\dot{R}_{\gamma-GM}} \dot{R}_{\gamma-TEIC} \\ \dot{S}_{\gamma} (\text{TEIC AmBe } \gamma - response) = \dot{R}_{\gamma-TEIC} \times \frac{\dot{R}_{n\gamma-GM}}{\dot{R}_{\gamma-GM}} = 1.143 \times 10^{-13} \times \frac{0.404}{0.577} = 0.800 \times 10^{-13} \, C \, / \, \text{sec} \,, \\ \dot{S}_{n} (\text{TEIC AmBe } n - \text{response}) = \dot{S}_{n+\gamma} - \dot{S}_{\gamma} = 2.914 \times 10^{-13} - 0.800 \times 10^{-13} = 2.114 \times 10^{-13} \, C \, / \, \text{sec} \,, \\ \text{TEIC neutron sensitivity} = \frac{\dot{D}_{n}}{\dot{S}_{n}} = \frac{6.026 \times 10^{-6} \, rem \, / \, \text{sec}}{2.114 \times 10^{-13} \, C \, / \, \text{sec}} = 2.851 \times 10^{7} \, rem \, / \, C \,. \end{split}$$

The g-fraction of the AmBe source, $\dot{S}_{\gamma}/\dot{S}_{n+\gamma}$, from the above results is 27.5%.

IV. CONCLUSION

The average of the four GM measurements gave a response of 6.143 X 10⁻⁷ ±1.9% R/pulse, which compares very well with the given value of 6 X 10⁻⁷ ±20% R/pulse. The three measurements with the PM-30 produced an average response of 1.122 X 10⁸ ±0.9% R/coul. which is in good agreement with the PNL quoted response of 1x10⁸ ±20% R/coul. The response of the 1000cc TEIC to photons was measured to be 3.034 X 10⁶ R/coul., which is in very good agreement with the PNL value of 3 X 10⁶ ±20% R/Coul. The neutron response of the TEIC to the unmoderated AmBe source was measured to be 2.851 X 10⁷ rem/Coul. which is 43% different from the quoted value of 2 X 10⁷ rem/Coul. There are several reasons for this apparent difference; PNL has used a ²⁵²Cf- neutron source, which produces neutrons with one half the average energy of the AmBe-source's neutrons, and TEIC response is not energy independent. Furthermore, the Cs photon source used to deduce and normalize the TEIC photon response produces photons that are about seven times less energetic than most of the photons from the AmBe source. The

R.P. Note #94 Page 4

variation of the photon response with energy would effect the subtraction of the photon response from the photon-plus-neutron response.

PNL sensitivities are given with an uncertainty of $\pm 20\%$. However, the activity of the sources used in this study are known to better accuracy than $\pm 20\%$, and any disagreement with the quoted numbers outside this range indicates a mistake in procedure. The quoted sensitivities can not be used to improve the calibrations of our sources.

V. REFERENCES

- 1. R. Dagenias, M. Sanchez and R. Allen, Radiation Physics Note #66, 1987.
- 2. R. B. Schwartz, ISO 8529 (1989), used for ²⁵²Cf source, neutron spectrum strengths.

VI. APPENDIX

- 1) TLD exposure information sheet.
- 2) GM counter response measurement in a photon field.
- 3) PM-30 ionization chamber measurement.
- 4) Neutron field measurement using a tissue-equivalent ion chamber.

Fermilab

TLD Exposure Information Sheet

Source 1:
Exposure Badge I.D.: 1.) 320 2.) 321 3.) 322
Control Badge I.D.: 1.) 323 2.) 324
Irradiation Date: 2/26/92
Source Type: 252 Cf (Unmoderated) If X-ray give energy in keV)
Distance: 50 cm
Exposure (check one): In Air On Phantom
Given Dose Equivalent (mrem): $250. \equiv 22.9 \text{ mrad}$
Operator's Name: Fred Krueger / Kamesa Vst 1
Source 2:
Exposure Badge I. D.: 1.) 2.) 3.)
Irradiation Date:
Source Type: (If X-ray give energy in keV)
Distance:
Exposure (check one): In Air On Phantom
Given Dose Equivalent (mrem):
Operator's Name:

Version: Feb. 15, 1991

CAP OFF

		CAP OFF	
Reading Number	Measurement Time (sec)	Reading (counts)	Count Rate, R (counts/sec)
1234567891011	10 11 11 11 11 11 11	13,335 13,268 13,311 13,315 13,474 13,279 13,233 13,260 13,539 13,505 13,457 13,451	1333.5 1326.8 1331.1 1331.5 1347.4 1327.9 1323.3 1326.0 1353.9 1350.5 1345.7

Ave. Count rate, $\dot{R} = 1336.9$ Std. Deviation = 10.8 Counts/sec Reported Response $6.297 \times 10^{7} \text{ Pyolse}$ $\pm 0.042 \times 10^{7} \text{ Pyolse}$ Response = \dot{X} * 3600 / \dot{R}

Version: Feb. 15, 1991

GM Counter (s/n) GM525

HV Supply (s/n) 1679 26

Voltage +500

Date 2/10/192

Photon Source (ID#) 137-6.1-1

Source Rate, X 385.816 mR/hr@1.m

Distance 2.m

Timer/Counter (s/n) IR0073 20 Operator Fred Krueger/Kamran Vaziri

CAP JE

Reading Number	Measurement Time (sec)	Reading (counts)	Count Rate, R (counts/sec)
1	100	4473	
2	100	43:00	
2 3	100	4391	
4	100	4460	
5	100	4434	
6	100	4388	
7	100	4432	
8	100	4495	
9	100	4517	
10	100	4471	
[]	100	4462	
12	100	4412	

Ave. Count rate, R = 4436.25 Pulse Std. Deviation = 58.24 Pulse Section = 58.24 Pulse Std. Deviation = 58.24 Pulse

Reported Response
$$6.041 \times 10^{-7} \text{ R/p. ise}$$
 $\pm 0.066 \times 10^{-7} \text{ R/p. ise}$

Response = X * 3600 / R

Version: Feb. 15, 1991

GM Counter (s/n) GM525 Photon Source (ID#) 137-7.1-1HV Supply (s/n) 167926 Source Rate, \dot{x} 3.308 R/hr@1.m

Voltage +500 Distance 2.mDate 2/10/92 Operator Fred Krueger / Kamran Vaziri

CAP OF S Measurement Count Rate, R Reading Reading Time (counts) (counts/sec) Number (sec) 3488 10 Sec 3448 2 11 3 4 5 3429 3472 11 3521 3384 11 7 3503 8 3511 9 3573 3407 10 3447 11 3478 12

Ave. Count rate, R = 3472 Std. Deviation = 53

Reported Response $6.062 \times 10^{7} \text{ R/pulse}$ $\pm 0.077 \times 10^{7} \text{ R/pulse}$ Response = $\times \times 3600 / R$

Version: Feb. 15, 1991

		Cf(r - Cr)	
Reading Number	Measurement Time (sec)	Reading (counts)	Count Rate, R (counts/sec)
1	10	3322	
2	//	3332	
3	//	3 <i>450</i>	
4	"	3349	
5)/	3375	
6	<i>,</i> /	33 <i>2</i> 7	
7	11	3349	
8	11	3330	
9	"	3355	
10	l:	3382	
11	27	3389	
12	27	3338	

Ave. Count rate, R = 3358.2 May Std. Deviation = 36.4 Page.

Reported Response 6.267×10^{-7} R/p/se $\pm 0.057 \times 10^{-7}$ R/p/se

Response = $\times \times 3600$ / R

Version: Feb. 15, 1991

GM Counter (s/n) GM525 Photon Source (ID#) 137-6.1-1HV Supply (s/n) 1679.26 Source Rate, \dot{x} 385.816 mR/k.@1.m

Voltage +500 volts Distance 1.mTimer/Counter (s/n) IR0073.20 Operator Fred K_rueger / K_2men V_2zir ;

Date 2/10/92

CAP OFF

	·	CAPULL	
Reading Number	Measurement Time (sec)	Reading Count Rate (counts)	
1	20	3477	
2	"	3430	
3	3/	3528	
4		3533	
5	//	3 492	
6	11	3498	
7	11	3529	
8		3429	
9	l)	3451	
10		3496	
11	,	357/ 34 8 8	
12		3780	

Ave. Count rate, $R = 3472/ns\alpha$ Std. Deviation = 52.6/20 Sec Reported Response 6.173×10^7 Repulse $\pm 0.078 \times 10^7$ Response = $\times \times 3600$ / R

PM-30 IONIZATION CHAMBER MEASUREMENT

Version: November 21, 1986

Chamber (s/n) C/1/30.5281
Voltage <u>+300</u>
Electrometer (s/n. cal. exp. date)
Elec. Corr. Factor. Ce 1.009
Elec. Range 0.2 hC (e.g. nC or 10^{-10} etc.)
Humidity (% rel.) \$\frac{248}{48}
Dote of Measurement 2/11/192
Operator Fred Kruege of Kamien Vativ

Source (ID#) 137-6.1-1
Distance / M
Stop watch (s/n.cal. exp. date)
Buildup Cop (y or n) Y
Temperature (deg-C) 19.1°C
Pressure (torr)757.8 mm
Air Density Corr. 0.993 * Ctp = 760 * (273 + T) / P / 295 *

offset = -60 fC Drift			offset=-60 fC Irradiation		
Measurement time sec	Collected Charge. Q Coulomb	Current, I Ampere	Meosurement time sec	··· ₂	Current, I
100	+3240 fC 3060 fC 3150 fC/100 sec	The second secon	100 100 100 100	97020 fC 97020 fC 97130 fC 98660 fC 98600 fC 96670 fC 97873.3±1051.8 54.55	

Average Drift, $\bar{D} = 3.150 \times 10^{-5} \text{ nS}$ Average Current, $\bar{I} = (97.873, \pm 1.051_s) \times 10^{-5} \text{ nS}$ Signal, $S = (\bar{I} - \bar{D}) * \text{Ctp} * \text{Ce}$ Delivered Exposure Rate, $\times 385.06 \text{ mS}$, Chamber Response $\frac{1.129 \times 0^8.74}{1.051_s} \times 1.051_s \times$

PM-30 IONIZATION CHAMBER MEASUREMENT

Version: November 21, 1986

Chamber (s/n) <u>C1130.5281</u>
Voltage ±300
Electrometer (s/n. cal. exp. date)
Elec. Corr. Factor. Ce 1.009
Elec. Range $\frac{2 nC}{10^{-10}}$ etc.)
Humidity (% rel.) 48/
Date of Measurement 2/11/92
Operator F. Kruger / K. Vaziri

Source (ID#) /37-7.1-1
Distance
Stop watch (s/n,cal. exp. date)
Buildup Cap (y or n)
Temperature (deg-C) 19.0°
Pressure (torr)
Air Density Corr. 0.992 * Ctp = 760 * (273 + T) / P / 295 *

offset=-60 fC/ Drift			Irradiation		
Measurement time sec	Collected Charge, Q Coulomb	Current. I Ampere	Measurement time sec	Collected Charge, Q Coulomb	Current, I
200 100 100 100 ANE	0.0033 n (0.0015 n (0.0017 n C 0.0033 n C 0.0025±0.0010 1	C	100 AVe=	0.7518 nc 0.7596 n C 0.7608 n C 0.7625 n C 0.7625 n C 0.7602 n C 0.7586 n C 0.7552 n C 0.7552 n C 0.7595±0.002	

Average Drift, $\bar{D} = 0.0025 \, \text{n}^{\text{C}}_{1005}$ Average Current, $\bar{I} = 0.7595 \, \text{n}^{\text{C}}_{1005}$

PM-30 IONIZATION CHAMBER MEASUREMENT

Version: November 21, 1986

Chamber (s/n) <u>C1130.528/</u>
Voltage
Electrometer (s/n. cal. exp. date)
Elec. Corr. Factor, Ce 1.009
Elec. Range $20nC$ (e.g. nC or 10^{-10} etc.)
Humidity (% rel.) 48/
Date of Measurement 2/11/91
Operator

Source (ID#) $137 - 8.1 - 1$
Distance / Meder
Stop watch (s/n,cal. exp. date)
Buildup Cap (y or n)
Temperature (deg-C)
Pressure (torr) 758.5 tm
Air Density Corr.

	Drift		Irradiation		
Measurement time sec	Collected Charge, Q Coulomb	Current. I Ampere	Measurement time sec	Collected Charge. Q Coulomb	Current, I Ampere
200 100	0.002n(0.006nC	·	100 100 100 100 100	5.884nC 5.868nC 5.887nC 5.880nC 5.856nC	
100	0.00 Z nC 0.00 Z nC 2.4/xio3 ± 5.5xiv nc			5.875 + 1.285x22 nC	•

Average Brift, $\bar{D} = \frac{2.4 \times 10^3 \pm 0.55 \times 10^3}{100}$ Average Current, $\bar{I} = 5.875 \pm 1.276 \times 10^3$ Chamber Response $\bar{I} = 5.875 \pm 1.276 \times 10^3$ Chamber Respon

NEUTRON FIELD MEASUREMENT USING A TISSUE-EQUIVALENT ION CHAMBER

Version: Feb. 15, 1991

Chamber (s/n)	610-KTC	Source
---------------	---------	--------

Voltage +300

Electrometer(s/n & exp. date)

Electro. Corr., Ce 1.009

Electro. Range 20 ncoul

Source (ID#) <u>241 Be - 7.2-1</u>

Distance 1. m

Stop Watch(s/n & exp. date)

Casio weist watch

Operator Fred Krueger & Kamran Vaziri

Measurement Date 2/13/192

	Drift		Irradiation		
Meas. time, t (sec)	Collected Charge, Q (coul)	Current, I Q/t (Amp)	Meas. time, t (sec)	Collected Charge, Q (coul)	Current, I Q/t (Amp)
600	0.021 nc		600	0.189 nc	3.150×10 ¹³
600	0.014 nc	2.33 x15 ¹⁴	600	0.188 nc	3.133x10 ¹³
	= 0.017, no		600	0.187 nc	
			600	0.198 nc	3.300×10-13
			600	0.192 nc	3.200×10 ⁻¹³
			Qave =	(0.191±0.004)n	
					1

Ave. Drift, $\overline{D} = 2.917 \times 10^{-14}$ C/s Ave. Current, $\overline{I} = 3.180 \times 10^{13}$ C/s Signal, $S = (\overline{I} - \overline{D}) * Ce$ $= 2.888 \times 10^{-13} * 1.009 = 2.914 \times 10^{-13}$ C/s

Dose Equivalent Rate used for source above: 21.695 mrem/hr

PHOTON COMPONENT MEASUREMENT USING A GM COUNTER

Version: Feb. 15, 1991

GM Counter (s/n) $\underline{GM525}$ Neutron Source (ID#) $\underline{24/Be-7.2-1}$ GM Counter R/count $\underline{6.168 \times 10^7 R/p_{Me}}$ Source Rate, $H \sim \underline{21.695} \sim cerv/r$ HV Supply (s/n) $\underline{1679\ 26}$ Distance $\underline{1.m.,0.5m}$ Operator

Timer/Counter (s/n) $\underline{1R007320}$ Date 2/20/92

Reading Number	Measurement Time (sec)	Reading (counts)	Count Rate, R (counts/sec)	d
1	600	240	0.400 0.400	@ 1.m
2	300	518	1.727 0.432	@0.5m
. 3	300	445	1.483 0.371	$\bigcirc 0.5m$
4	300	499	1.663 0.416	@0.5 m
5	300	483	1.610 0.403	@6.5m
			0.404±0.02	3

Ave. Count rate, R = 0.404 Count/sec Std. Deviation = 0.023 Count/sec

Measured R/hr = (R/count) *
$$R$$
 * 3600 = 8.971×10^{-4} R/hr

IC-1000 IONIZATION CHAMBER RESPONSE IN A PHOTON FIELD

Version: Feb. 15, 1991

Chamber	(s/n)	610-KTC	5
---------	-------	---------	---

Source (ID#) <u>137-3.5-1</u>

Voltage +292

Distance 1. m

Electrometer(s/n & exp. date)

Stop Watch(s/n & exp. date)

CASID Wistwatch

Electro. Corr., Ce 1.009

Operator F. Krueger /K. V2217)

Electro. Range <u>20 nC</u>

Measurement Date 2/20/192

	Drift -			Irradiation		
Meas. time, t (sec)	Collected Charge, Q (coul)	Current, I Q/t (Amp)	Meas. time, t (sec)	Collected Charge, (coul)	Current, I (Q)/t (Amp)	
3,00 600	6.5 x1812 10.1 ×10-12 11.9 ×10-12	2.2 × 10 ⁻¹⁴ 1.7 × 10 ⁻¹⁴ 2.0 × 10 ⁻¹⁴	300 300 300 600	33. 6x15 ¹² 37.0 x10 ⁻¹² 33. 4x10 ⁻¹² 66.0x10 ⁻¹²	1.12 x10 ⁻¹³ 1.11 x10 ⁻¹³ 1.10 x10 ⁻¹³	

Ave. Drift, $\overline{D} = \frac{1.9 \times 10^{-14}}{1.9 \times 10^{-14}}$ C/s Ave. Current, $\overline{I} = \frac{1.140 \times 10^{-13}}{1.140 \times 10^{-13}}$ C/s Signal, $S = (\overline{I} - \overline{D}) * Ce$ $= \frac{11.4 \times 10^{-14}}{1.256 \times 10^{-3}} * \frac{1.009}{1.256 \times 10^{-3}} = \frac{11.52 \times 10^{-13}}{1.256 \times 10^{-3}} = \frac{3.034 \times 10^{-13}}{1.256 \times 10^{-3}}$ Exposure rate (R/hr) $\frac{1.256 \times 10^{-3}}{1.256 \times 10^{-3}} \div S \div 3600 = \frac{3.034 \times 10^{-13}}{1.256 \times 10^{-3}} \times R/C$

PHOTON COMPONENT MEASUREMENT USING A GM COUNTER

Version: Feb. 15, 1991

GM Counter (s/n) <u>GM525</u>

Source (ID#) 137-3,5-1

GM Counter R/count 6.168 x 10 R/pulse Source Rate, H 1.256 X 10 3 R/hr

HV Supply (s/n) 933 05

Distance <u>as noted</u>

Voltage <u>+500 volts</u>

Operator Fred Krueger / Kamran Vaziri

Timer/Counter (s/n) IR0073 20

Date 2/20/92

	Reading Number	Measurement Time (sec)	Reading (counts)	Average . Count Rate, R (counts/sec)
Drift	2	300 300	2	8.33 ×10 Countriber
(All the second s	m 375	5.97×10
	2 3	600 600	340	Counts/sec
Irradiation		@ 0.	360 5 m	
Ina	2	300 300 300	675 670	2.28 counts/sec
	4	300	725 66 ⁹	>@1.m R=5.70×10 cmy
/				kee

Ave. Count rate, $R = 5.77 \times 10^{-1} \text{ MeV}$ Std. Deviation = 0.026 Polsky

Measured R/hr = (R/count) * R * 3600
=
$$6.168 \times 10^{-7}$$
 R/pulse * 5.77×10^{-1} pulse * $3600 = 12.812 \times 10^{-4}$ R/h/